



Bridgmore Brown Solicitors
First Floor
42A Upper High Street
Thame
Oxon
OX9 2DW

20th June 2024

Ref: Your Client Angus Fire Ltd.

by Email and Post

Dear Mr Brown,

AOMMY Ltd. Looks after the legal, financial and health & well-being of Mr Matthew MacEley-Young. We have been contacted by A White Knight IT C.I.C. who passed us a letter from you on behalf of your client Angus Fire Ltd.


Firstly, we would like to point out you are fully aware of where our client resides and delivering this letter to a place where he volunteers to help the community is purely and obviously, an attempt to harass our Client

With regards to your letter dated 13th June 2024, it is quite vague and therefore difficult to perceive what issues you take with our client's blog.

Our client has a civil right (and would argue a moral obligation) to post his thoughts and experiences about your client as freedom of expression is still a right in Great Britain.

We would therefore be grateful if you could reply with *exactly* what is untrue within the blogs and if it is not in the public domain or our client has no evidence we will advise our client accordingly.

The quote in your letter regarding “many dodgy dealings” can be quantified with recent revaluations in the past 6 years about your client.





1. The recent release of the Guardians article on the Ends Report about PFA pollution and your client breaching its PFA permit 20 times in 10 years and the warning that has been issued from the Environmental Agency who is looking at suspending your client's PFA permit.

2. If you add these to the requests made by members of your client's staff during our client's employment there, he surely is correct to say "many dodgy dealings".

We would also like to remind your client that these requests included "a request to bypass import duty" (where the perpetrator was paid to leave the firm) and your client "refused to allow our client to repair a PC which your client legally required so it could monitor your client's emissions" putting the local community at risk. All are a matter of public record and arguably morally wrong.

Mr MacEley-Young welcomes any court action your client sees fit. He believes that based on the evidence we are currently able to supply, any civil action will be balanced in our client's favour.

If your client does take action against our client or if you continue to hound our client and his fundamental freedoms, we will sue your client for harassment.

Statements recently released to the public from your client said "All information and responses to requests for information will be transparent". Threatening and harassing behaviour towards local residents who have concerns about your clients current and former business practices, is not in line with any of the publicly released statements by your client of transparency and cooperation with the community.

Please direct all responses to us at [REDACTED]my.co.uk.

Yours Sincerely,

[REDACTED]

Legal Advisor

for and on behalf of [REDACTED] & Mr MacEley-Young

[REDACTED]